arXiv:hep-th/9804102v2 8 Aug 1998

On Symmetries of Target Space for Sigma-model of p-brane Origin

V. D. Ivashchuk

Center for Gravitation and Fundamental Metrology
VNIIMS, 3-1 M. Ulyanovoy Str.
Moscow, 117313, Russia
e-mail: ivas@rgs.phys.msu.su

Abstract

The target space $\mathcal{M}$ for the sigma-model appearing in theories with p-branes is considered. It is proved that $\mathcal{M}$ is a homogeneous space G/H. It is symmetric if and only if the U-vectors governing the sigma-model metric are either coinciding or mutually orthogonal. For nonzero noncoinciding U-vectors the Killing equations are solved. Using a block-orthogonal decomposition of the set of the U-vectors it is shown that under rather general assumptions the algebra of Killing vectors is a direct sum of several copies of $sl(2, \mathbf{R})$ algebras (corresponding to 1-vector blocks), several solvable Lie algebras (corresponding to multivector blocks) and the Killing algebra of a flat space. The target space manifold is decomposed in a product of $\mathbf{R}^m$, several 2-dimensional spaces of constant curvature (e.g. Lobachevsky space, part of anti-de Sitter space) and several solvable Lie group manifolds.

1 Introduction

In this paper we consider the metric

$$\mathcal{G} = \hat{G}_{AB} dx^A \otimes dx^B + \sum_{s \in S} \varepsilon_s \, \mathrm{e}^{2U^s_A x^A} \, d\Phi^s \otimes d\Phi^s, \tag{1.1}$$

defined on $\mathbf{R}^K$, where $x = (x^A) \in \mathbf{R}^N$, $\Phi = (\Phi^s, s \in S) \in \mathbf{R}^{|S|}$ ($S \neq \emptyset$), $(\hat{G}_{AB})$ is a symmetric non-degenerate matrix, $\varepsilon_s = \pm 1$, $U^s = (U^s_A) \in \mathbf{R}^N$ are vectors, $s \in S$, and $K = N + |S|$. The space $\mathcal{M} = (\mathbf{R}^K, \mathcal{G})$ is a target space for the σ-model appearing in multidimensional theories with intersecting p-brane solutions [1, 2].

Here we prove that $\mathcal{M}$ is a homogeneous space isomorphic to G/H [3], where G is the isometry group of $\mathcal{M}$, and H is an isotropy subgroup of G (Sect. 2). We prove that $\mathcal{M}$ is a symmetric space, iff (if and only if) any two vectors U^{s_1} and U^{s_2}, $s_1, s_2 \in S$, $s_1 \neq s_2$, are either coinciding $U^{s_1} = U^{s_2}$ or orthogonal $(U^{s_1}, U^{s_2}) = 0$, with respect to the scalar product

$$(U, U') = \hat{G}^{AB} U_A U'_B, \tag{1.2}$$

where $U, U' \in \mathbf{R}^N$, $(\hat{G}^{AB}) = (\hat{G}_{AB})^{-1}$. The Killing equations are solved in Sect. 3. In Sect. 4 the block-orthogonal decomposition of the set of U^s-vectors is considered and under rather general assumptions the decomposition of the Killing algebra into a sum of mutually commuting subalgebras is obtained.

We note that recently some new classes of solutions with p-branes were obtained in the block-orthogonal case [4, 5].

2 Coset structure

The isometry group $G = \mathrm{Isom}(\mathcal{M})$ has two Abelian subgroups defined by transformations

$$\Phi^s \mapsto \Phi^s + \Delta^s, \qquad x^A \mapsto x^A, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\Phi^s \mapsto \Phi^s \, \mathrm{e}^{-U^s_A \delta^A}, \qquad x^A \mapsto x^A + \delta^A, \tag{2.2}$$

(Φ^s-translation and generalized x^A-translation respectively) $\Delta^s, \delta^A \in \mathbf{R}$.

Proposition 1. The space $\mathcal{M} = (\mathbf{R}^K, \mathcal{G})$ with the metric defined in (1.1) is a homogeneous space isomorphic to G/H, where $G = \mathrm{Isom}(\mathcal{M})$ is the isometry group of $\mathcal{M}$, and H is the isotropy subgroup of G.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that the action of the group G on $\mathbf{R}^K$ is transitive [3]. Indeed, any two points $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbf{R}^K$ may be "connected" by a certain composition of the isometries (2.1) and (2.2), i.e. $a_2 = f_2(\delta)(f_1(\Delta)(a_1))$, where the isometries $f_1(\Delta), f_2(\delta) : \mathbf{R}^K \to \mathbf{R}^K$ are defined in (2.1) and (2.2) respectively.

Here $H = H_0 \cong H_a$, where $H_a \equiv \{g | g \in G, \ g(a) = a\}$ is the isotropy subgroup of G at $a \in \mathbf{R}^K$.

We note that recently in a special case of two vectors (or two p-branes) a similar result was established in the framework of a σ-model representation for a non-block-diagonal metric ansatz [6].

Proposition 2. The space $\mathcal{M} = (\mathbf{R}^K, \mathcal{G})$ is symmetric, i.e. $\bigtriangledown_M[\mathcal{G}]R_{M_1M_2M_3M_4}[\mathcal{G}] = 0$, iff

$$(U^{s_1}, U^{s_2})(U^{s_1} - U^{s_2}) = 0, \tag{2.3}$$

for all $s_1, s_2 \in S$.

Proof. Relation $\bigtriangledown_M R_{M_1M_2M_3M_4} = 0$ is equivalent to the relation (5.4) from the Appendix A, that is equivalent to (2.3).

We note that in our previous papers [1, 2] we considered the Majumdar-Papapetrou type solutions for the p-brane σ-model in the orthogonal case $(U^{s_1}, U^{s_2}) = 0$, $s_1 \neq s_2$.

Proposition 3. Let $U^s \neq 0$ for all $s \in S$. Then the space $\mathcal{M} = (\mathbf{R}^K, \mathcal{G})$ is a space of constant curvature, i.e.

$$R_{M_1M_2M_3M_4}[\mathcal{G}] = k(\mathcal{G}_{M_1M_3}\mathcal{G}_{M_2M_4} - \mathcal{G}_{M_1M_4}\mathcal{G}_{M_2M_3}), \tag{2.4}$$

$k = \mathrm{const}$, iff $N = 1$ and

$$U_1^s = \pm\sqrt{-k\hat{G}_{11}} \tag{2.5}$$

$s \in S$, where $k\hat{G}_{11} < 0$.

Proposition 3 can be readily proved using the relations ¿from the Appendix A. It follows from (2.5) that $(U^s, U^s) = -k$, $s \in S$.

3 Algebra of Killing vectors

Let $\mathbf{g} = isom(\mathcal{M})$ be the algebra of Killing vector fields on M, satisfying the Killing equations

$$\bigtriangledown_M v_N + \bigtriangledown_N v_M = 0, \tag{3.1}$$

where $v = v^M \partial/\partial X^M \in \mathbf{g}$, $(X^M) = (x^A, \Phi^s)$, $v_N = \mathcal{G}_{NM}v^M$, $\bigtriangledown_M = \bigtriangledown_M[\mathcal{G}]$ is the covariant derivative corresponding to the metric (1.1). The algebra $\mathbf{g}$ is isomorphic to the Lie algebra of the isometry group G.

Proposition 4. Let

$$U^s \neq 0, \qquad U^{s_1} \neq U^{s_2}, \tag{3.2}$$

for all $s, s_1, s_2 \in S$, $s_1 \neq s_2$. Then the Killing equations have the following solutions

$$v_A = C_A + 2\sum_{s \in S} f_s U_A^s \Phi^s + C_{AB}x^B, \tag{3.3}$$

$$v_s = f_s + \varepsilon_s \, \mathrm{e}^{2U_A^s x^A}[b_s - U^{sA}C_A\Phi^s - f_s(U^s, U^s)(\Phi^s)^2], \tag{3.4}$$

where constants $C_A, C_{AB}, b_s, f_s \in \mathbf{R}$ satisfy the relations

$$U^{sA}C_{AB} = 0, \qquad C_{AB} = -C_{BA}, \tag{3.5}$$

$$(U^s, U^{s'})f_{s'} = 0, \qquad s \neq s', \ \text{(no summation)} \tag{3.6}$$

$A, B = 1, \dots, N$; $s, s' \in S$.

We note that the vector fields defined by relations (3.3)-(3.6) satisfy the Killing equations for arbitrary U^s-vectors. The sketch of proof of the Proposition 4 is given in Appendix B. Here and in what follows we assume that the restrictions (3.2) are satisfied.

The Killing vector fields corresponding to isometries (2.1) and (2.2) respectively are the following

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_s &= \partial_s, && (3.7)\\
D_A &= \partial_A - \sum_{s \in S} U^s_A \Phi^s \partial_s, && (3.8)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\partial_s = \partial / \partial \Phi^s$ and $\partial_A = \partial / \partial x^A$.

Let

$$
F_r = 2U^{rA} \Phi^r \partial_A + [\varepsilon_r e^{-2U^r} - (U^r, U^r)(\Phi^r)^2] \partial_r, \qquad (3.9)
$$

where $r \in \triangle \equiv \{ r' \in S | (U^{r'}, U^s) = 0, \forall s \in S \setminus \{r'\} \}$. Thus, the Killing vector field F_r correspond to the vector U^r orthogonal to all other vectors U^s .

Let us consider the coordinates $(x^A) = (x^a, x^\alpha)$ satisfying the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{G}_{AB} &= \eta_{AB} = \eta_{AA} \delta_{AB}, \qquad \eta_{AA} = \pm 1, && (3.10)\\
U^s_\alpha &= U^{s\alpha} = 0, && (3.11)
\end{aligned}
$$

$s \in S$; $a = 1, \dots, p$; $\alpha = p+1, \dots, N$.

The generators of generalized (pseudo) rotations are

$$
M_{\alpha\beta} = x_\alpha \partial_\beta - x_\beta \partial_\alpha, \qquad (3.12)
$$

$x_\alpha = \eta_{\alpha\alpha} x^\alpha$, $\alpha, \beta = p+1, \dots, N$.

Commutation relations read

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[D_A, D_B] = [T_s, T_{s'}] = [F_r, F_{r'}] = 0, && (3.13)\\
&[D_A, F_r] = -U^r_A F_r \text{ (no summation)}, \qquad [T_s, F_r] = 2\delta_{sr} U^{rA} D_A, && (3.14)\\
&[D_A, T_s] = U^s_A T_s \text{ (no summation)}, && (3.15)\\
&[M_{\alpha\beta}, M_{\alpha'\beta'}] = \eta_{\beta\alpha'} M_{\alpha\beta'} + \eta_{\alpha\beta'} M_{\beta\alpha'} - \eta_{\alpha\alpha'} M_{\beta\beta'} - \eta_{\beta\beta'} M_{\alpha\alpha'}, && (3.16)\\
&[M_{\alpha\beta}, T_s] = [M_{\alpha\beta}, F_r] = 0, && (3.17)\\
&[M_{\alpha\beta}, D_A] = \eta_{\beta A} D_\alpha - \eta_{\alpha A} D_\beta, && (3.18)
\end{aligned}
$$

$a, b = 1, \dots, p$; $\alpha, \beta = p+1, \dots, N$; $s, s' \in S$; $r \in \triangle$.

Let us suppose that the coordinates satisfying (3.10) and (3.11) also obey the relations

$$
p = \mathrm{rank}(U^s, s \in S). \qquad (3.19)
$$

In the Euclidean case, when $\eta_{AB} = \delta_{AB}$, such coordinates do exist.

It follows from the relations (3.5) , (3.6), (3.10), (3.11) and (3.19) that the vector fields $T_s, D_A, F_r, M_{\alpha\beta}, \alpha < \beta$ ($s \in S; A = 1, \dots, N; r \in \triangle; \alpha, \beta = p+1, \dots, N$) form a basis in $\mathbf{g}$.

4 Block-orthogonal decomposition

Let

$$S = S_1 \sqcup \ldots \sqcup S_k, \tag{4.1}$$

$S_i \neq \emptyset$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$, and

$$(U^s, U^{s'}) = 0 \tag{4.2}$$

for all $s \in S_i$, $s' \in S_j$, $i \neq j$; $i, j = 1, \ldots, k$. Relation (4.1) means that the set S is a union of k non-intersecting (non-empty) subsets $S_1, \ldots, S_k$. According to (4.2) the set of vectors $(U^s, s \in S)$ has a block-orthogonal structure with respect to the scalar product (1.2), i.e. it is splitted into k mutually orthogonal "blocks" $(U^s, s \in S_i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$. We consider the block-orthogonal decomposition to be irreducible, i.e. for any i the block $(U^s, s \in S_i)$ can not be splitted into two mutually orthogonal subblocks.

Let us suppose that $(x^a) = (x_1^{a_1}, \ldots, x_k^{a_k})$, $a_i = 1, \ldots, p_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$, and

$$U^{s_j}_{a_i} = 0, \tag{4.3}$$

$s_j \in S_j$, $i \neq j$; $i, j = 1, \ldots, k$. We also put

$$p_i = \mathrm{rank}(U^s, s \in S_i), \tag{4.4}$$

$i = 1, \ldots, k$. In the Euclidean case ($\eta_{AB} = \delta_{AB}$) there exist coordinates satisfying (3.10), (3.11), (4.3) and (4.4).

Let $\mathbf{g_0} \equiv < D_\alpha, M_{\alpha\beta}; \alpha, \beta = p{+}1, \ldots, N >$, $\mathbf{g_i} \equiv < T_{s_i}, s_i \in S_i; D_{a_i}, a_i = 1, \ldots, p_i; F_{r_i}, r_i \in \triangle \cap S_i >$, where $< (\cdot) >$ is a span of $(\cdot)$ over $\mathbf{R}$. The Killing algebra is a (direct) sum of mutually commuting subalgebras:

$$\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{g_0} \oplus \mathbf{g_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbf{g_k}, \qquad [\mathbf{g}_\nu, \mathbf{g}_\mu] = \mathbf{0}, \tag{4.5}$$

$\nu, \mu = 0, \ldots, k$.

For $|S_i| = 1$ $\mathbf{g_i} \cong sl(2, \mathbf{R})$. Indeed, in this case $S = \{s_i\}$ and $\mathbf{g} = < T = T_{s_i}, D = D_{a_i}, F = F_{s_i} >$. In the new basis $h = 2U^{-1}D$, $e_+ = \eta U^{-1} D$, $e_- = U^{-1}F$, where $U = U^{s_i}_{a_i}$ and $\eta = \eta_{a_i a_i} = \mathrm{sign}(U^{s_i}, U^{s_i})$, we get the familiar relations for $sl(2, \mathbf{R})$: $[h, e_+] = 2e_+$, $[h, e_-] = -2e_-$, $[e_+, e_-] = h$.

For $|S_i| > 1$ the Lie algebra $\mathbf{g_i}$ is solvable, since ${\mathbf{g_i}}^{(2)} = [{\mathbf{g_i}}^{(1)}, {\mathbf{g_i}}^{(1)}] = \mathbf{0}$, where ${\mathbf{g_i}}^{(1)} = [\mathbf{g_i}, \mathbf{g_i}]$. We note that recently solvable Lie algebras were studied for supergravity models in numerous papers (see [8] and references therein).

The isotropy subalgebra $\mathbf{h} = \{v \in \mathbf{g} | v(0) = 0\}$ has the following form:

$$\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h_0} \oplus \sum_{r \in \triangle} \mathbf{h_r}, \tag{4.6}$$

$\mathbf{h_0} = < M_{\alpha\beta}; \alpha, \beta = p + 1, \ldots, N >$ is the isotropy subalgebra of $\mathbf{g_0}$ and $\mathbf{h_r} = < F_r - \theta_r D_{a(r)} >$, where $\theta_r \equiv \varepsilon_r \mathrm{sign}(U^r, U^r)$, $r \in \triangle$. The subalgebra $\mathbf{h_r}$ is embedded into $sl(2, \mathbf{R})$ as $so(2, \mathbf{R})$ for $\theta_r = +1$, and as $so(1, 1, \mathbf{R})$, for $\theta_r = -1$ (this follows from the matrix realization of e_+, e_-, h).

Under assumptions mentioned above the target space manifold may be decomposed as follows

$$\mathbf{R}^K = \mathbf{R}^{N-p} \times \prod_{r\in\triangle} M_r \times \prod_{l\in\triangle_+} G_l, \tag{4.7}$$

where $\triangle_+ = \{l = 1,\dots,k||S_l| > 1\}$, $G_l = \exp(\mathbf{g_l})$ is a solvable Lie group, $l \in \triangle_+$, M_r is 2-dimensional space of constant curvature isomorphic to the Lobachevsky space $H^2 = SL(2,\mathbf{R})/SO(2)$ for $\theta_r = +1$ and to the part of anti-de Sitter space $AdS^2 = SL(2,\mathbf{R})/SO(1,1)$ for $\theta_r = -1$. The target space metric is a direct sum of metrics

$$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_0 \oplus \sum_{r\in\triangle} \eta_r \mathcal{G}_r \oplus \sum_{l\in\triangle_+} \mathcal{G}_l, \tag{4.8}$$

where $\mathcal{G}_0 = \eta_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha \otimes dx^\beta$, $\mathcal{G}_r$ is the canonical metric on M_r, $\eta_r = \mathrm{sign}(U^r, U^r)$, $r \in \triangle$, and $\mathcal{G}_l$ is a non-degenerate metric on G_l, $l \in \triangle_+$.

5 Appendix A

The nonzero components of the Christoffel symbols for the metric (1.1) are the following [7]

$$\Gamma^s_{As} = \Gamma^s_{sA} = U^s_A, \qquad \Gamma^A_{ss} = -U^{sA}\varepsilon_s e^{2U^s}. \tag{5.1}$$

The nonzero components of the Riemann tensor corresponding to (1.1) read

$$R_{A_1 s A_2 s} = \text{permutations} = -U^s_{A_1} U^s_{A_2} \varepsilon_s e^{2U^s}, \tag{5.2}$$

$$R_{s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4} = \varepsilon_{s_1}\varepsilon_{s_2}(U^{s_1}, U^{s_2}) e^{2U^{s_1}+2U^{s_2}} (\delta_{s_1 s_4}\delta_{s_2 s_3} - \delta_{s_1 s_3}\delta_{s_2 s_4}). \tag{5.3}$$

Here $U^s = U^s_A x^A$ and the scalar product $(\cdot,\cdot)$ is defined in (1.2).

It follows from (5.1)-(5.3) that the only nontrivial components of the relation $\bigtriangledown_M R_{M_1 M_2 M_3 M_4} = 0$ (up to permutations of indices) are the following

$$\bigtriangledown_s R_{s_1 s_2 s_3 A} = \varepsilon_{s_1}\varepsilon_{s_2}(U^{s_1}, U^{s_2}) e^{2U^{s_1}+2U^{s_2}} (U^{s_2}_A - U^{s_1}_A)(\delta_{s s_1}\delta_{s_2 s_3} + \delta_{s s_2}\delta_{s_1 s_3}) = 0. \tag{5.4}$$

6 Appendix B

The Killing equations (3.1) read

$$\partial_A v_B + \partial_B v_A = 0, \tag{6.1}$$

$$\partial_A v_s + \partial_s v_A - 2U^s_A v_s = 0, \ \text{(no summation)} \tag{6.2}$$

$$\partial_s v_{s'} + \partial_{s'} v_s = 0, \quad s \neq s', \tag{6.3}$$

$$\partial_s v_s + \varepsilon_s e^{2U^s} U^s_A v^A = 0. \tag{6.4}$$

The first equation has the solution

$$v_A = C_A(\Phi) + C_{AB}(\Phi) x^B, \tag{6.5}$$

where $C_{AB}(\Phi) = -C_{BA}(\Phi)$, $A, B = 1, \ldots, N$. The Proposition 4 may be readily proved using the relations (6.2)-(6.4), identities $\partial_M \partial_N (v_s \, \mathrm{e}^{-2U^s_A x^A}) = \partial_N \partial_M (v_s \, \mathrm{e}^{-2U^s_A x^A})$ and the following Lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let $(U_A) \in \mathbf{R}^N$, $(U_A) \neq 0$ and

$$D = e^{U_A x^A}(B + C_A x^A) \tag{6.6}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^N$. Then $B = D = C_A = 0$ for $A = 1, \ldots, N$.

Lemma 2. Let $(U_A) \in \mathbf{R}^N$, $(U_A) \neq 0$, $C_{AB} = -C_{BA}$, $A, B = 1, \ldots, N$, $f = f(x)$ and

$$\partial_A f = e^{U_B x^B}(B_A + C_{AB} x^B) \tag{6.7}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^N$. Then $C_{AB} = 0$ and $B_A = \lambda U_A$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the DFG grant 436 RUS 113/236/O(R), by the Russian Ministry of Science and Technology and Russian Foundation for Basic Research, grant 98-02-16414. The author is grateful to organizers of Cosmion meeting (December 7–12, 1997) where the results of this work were reported and to V.N. Melnikov and M.I. Kalinin for useful discussions.

References

[1] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Intersecting p-brane Solutions in Multidimensional Gravity and M-theory, hep-th/9612089; *Gravitation and Cosmology* **2**, No 4, 204 (1996);
V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, *Phys. Lett.* **B 403**, 23 (1997);
V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Sigma-model for the Generalized Composite p-branes, hep-th/9705036; *Class. Quantum Grav.* **14** (11), 3001 (1997).

[2] V.D. Ivashchuk, V.N. Melnikov and M. Rainer, Multidimensional σ-models with Composite Electric p-branes, gr-qc/9705005; *Gravitation and Cosmology* **4**, No 2 (14) (1998).

[3] S. Helgason, Differential Geometry and Symmetric Spaces, New York: Academic Press (1962).

[4] K.A. Bronnikov, Block-orthogonal Brane systems, Black Holes and Wormholes, hep-th/9710207.

[5] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Mujumdar-Papapetrou Type Solutions in Sigma-Model and Intersecting p-Branes, hep-th/9802121, submitted to Class. Quant. Grav.

[6] D.V. Gal'tsov and O.A. Rytchkov, Generating Branes via Sigma models, hep-th/9801180.

[7] V.D.Ivashchuk and V.N.Melnikov, Multidimensional Gravity with Einstein Internal spaces, hep-th/9612054; *Gravitation and Cosmology*, 1996, 2, No. 3 (7), 211 (1996).

[8] P. Fré, Solvable Lie Algebras, BPS Black Holes and Supergravity Gaugings, hep-th/9802045;
L. Castellani, A. Ceresole, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara, P. Fré and M. Trigiante, G/H M-branes and AdS_{p+2} Geometries, hep-th/9803039.